IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 905 of 2001

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MISS JUSTICE R.M.DOSHIT

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

PRANLAL MAGANLAL SHETH

Versus

CHARULATA TARUNCHANDRA DAVE

Appearance:

1. Civil Revision Application No. 905 of 2001

MRS KETTY A MEHTA for Petitioner No. 1

..... for Respondent No. 1-3

CORAM : MISS JUSTICE R.M.DOSHIT

Date of decision: 11/10/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Learned advocate Mrs.Ketty A.Mehta appearing for the petitioners has, on 4th October, 2002, filed a note.

According to Mrs.Mehta, the present Revision Application does not survive and has become infructuous. She has requested for disposal of the Revision Application.

In view of the statements made in the note dated 4th October, 2002 filed by the learned advocate Mrs.Ketty A.Mehta, the Revision Application is disposed of as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged.

(Ms. R.M.Doshit, J.)

/sakkaf